```
1
     JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
     United States Attorney
2
3
     BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
     Chief, Criminal Division
4
5
     TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225)
     Assistant United States Attorney
6
        450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
7
        San Francisco, California 94102
        Telephone:
                      (415) 436-7071
                      (415) 436-7234
8
        Facsimile:
        Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov
9
10
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
11
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
                                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14
15
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                                 CR No. 08-70183 JCS
16
                                                 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
17
           Plaintiff,
                                                 EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
18
        v.
     PEK HOON MARGARET LIM,
19
20
           Defendant.
21
22
23
        On April 3, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that time
24
     from April 3, 2008 through April 10, 2008 should be excluded from Speedy Trial
     Act calculations because defense counsel needed adequate time to prepare for court proceedings.
25
     18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(F). The parties represented to the Court that the length of the requested
26
27
     continuance was the reasonable amount of time necessary for effective preparation of counsel,
28
     taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties
     Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time
                                                                                             1
     CR 08-70183 JCS
```

also agreed that the ends of justice served by this continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

Furthermore, the parties also appeared before the Court on April 10, 2008, and stipulated that time from April 10, 2008 through April 16, 2008 should be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations because defense counsel needed adequate time to review discovery, which the government produced recently. The parties represented to the Court that the length of the requested continuance was the reasonable amount of time necessary for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by this continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

SO STIPULATED:

13

14

12

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney

15

DATED: April 11, 2008 16

TAREK J. HELOU

Assistant United States Attorney

18

17

19

DATED: April 11, 2008

GEOFFREY HANSEN

Attorney for Defendant Margaret Pek Hoon Lim

21

20

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

that time from April 3, 2008 through April 10, 2008 shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations because defense counsel needed time for effective preparation for court proceedings. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). Furthermore, as the Court stated on April 3, 2008, and for the reasons identified above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)

As the Court stated on April 3, 2008, and for the reasons identified above, the Court finds

1	The Court also finds that time from April 10, 2008 through April 16, 2008 shall be excluded
2	from Speedy Trial Act calculations for effective preparation of counsel because defense counsel
3	needs adequate time to review discovery. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). Failing to grant the
4	requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,
5	taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18
6	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
7	
8	SO ORDERED.
9	
10	DATED:
11	THE HONORABLE JAMES LARSON United States Magistrate Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	